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## Examination for the Master's Course Methods of Econometrics winter semester 2022/23

## Problem 1 (35 points)

A physics-based model for the energy consumption $y$ of electrical vehicles (EV) per kilometer $[\mathrm{kWh} / \mathrm{km}]$ at constant speed $v[\mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}]$ on level roads is given by

$$
y=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} \frac{1}{v}+\beta_{2} v^{2}+\epsilon, \quad \epsilon \sim \text { i.i.d. } N\left(0, \sigma^{2}\right)
$$

An ordinary least-squares calibration on ten data points gives the estimation (the notation $\mathrm{e}-5$ denotes $* 10^{-5}$ and so forth)

$$
\hat{\beta}_{0}=0.08, \quad \hat{\beta}_{1}=2.0, \quad \hat{\beta}_{2}=1.1 \mathrm{e}-5
$$

and the variance-covariance matrix

$$
\hat{\mathbf{V}}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0.0011 & -0.02 & -1.5 \mathrm{e}-8 \\
-0.02 & 1.0 & -1.2 \mathrm{e}-7 \\
-1.5 \mathrm{e}-8 & -1.2 \mathrm{e}-7 & 1 \mathrm{e}-11
\end{array}\right)
$$

(a) Identify the three factors $x_{1}, x_{2}$, and $x_{3}$.
(b) Test the null hypotheses (1) $H_{01}: \beta_{1}=0$ and (2) $H_{02}: \beta_{1} \geq 1$.
(c) Calculate the expected energy consumption per kilometer at $30 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}, 50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}, 100 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ and $130 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$.
(d) Give a general expression for the estimated variance of the energy consumption estimate as a function of the speed and evaluate this expression for a speed of $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$.
(e) At which speed this EV consumes the least energy per kilometer? Argue that this optimal speed must be always greater than zero.
(f) A comparison of the three factors with physical facts reveals that $\beta_{1}$ is directly the average basis power demand in kW for heating, ventilation, radio, lights and so on. Larger cars have a basic power demand of about 3 kW and small EVs of 1 kW while the other parameters change little. Calculate the optimal speed for minimum consumption for large (small) EVs.
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## Problem 2 (30 points)

Consider the $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ footprint of a normal passenger bus. We have following material items for making the bus and repairs:

- Iron/steel: $12000 \mathrm{~kg}\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2}\right.$-neutral recycling: $\left.25 \%\right)$
- Aluminum: 500 kg (recycling $50 \%$ )
- Plastic: 2500 kg (recycling $20 \%$ )
- Rubber: 500 kg (recycling $20 \%$ )
- Other materials: 1000 kg (recycling $20 \%$ )

During operation, the bus consumes on average 501 Diesel per 100 km . It has an average lifetime of 1.2 million km and carries, on average, 12 passengers (including trips without passengers). The fuel has a W2T CO $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ emission factor of $0.4 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{l}$ and a T 2 W factor of $2.7 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{l}$
(a) Calculate the combined material and fuel repository $y^{\mathrm{s}}$ for all three life phases.
(b) The line of the emission factor matrix of the five materials (in above order) for the pollutant $e_{1}=\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ reads

$$
C^{\prime}=(2.5,25,1,1.5,2)
$$

Calculate the total $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ emissions $e_{1}^{\text {mat }}$ for making and recycling the bus (without driving it).
(c) Calculate the $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ emissions per kilometer of driving.
(d) After which number of kilometers the driving emissions are the same as the total emissions in the production and recycling phases?
(e) Estimate the $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ footprint per passenger-kilometer
(i) considering the driving phase, only,
(ii) considering all three life phases.
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## Problem 3 (55 points)

In order to assess the factors when deciding between buying a battery-electric vehicle (BEV) or an internal-combustion engine (gasoline or Diesel) vehicle (ICEV), each person of a group of ten persons should decide whether to buy a BEV (alternative $i=1$ ) or an equivalent ICEV $(i=2)$. The only differences lie is the buying costs $C_{i}$ (including possible subsidies) in multiples of $1000 €$, the kilometer costs $C_{i}^{\prime}$ in $€ / 100 \mathrm{~km}$ due to fuel and electricity prices, and the range $R[\mathrm{~km}]$ and recharging time [minutes] of the BEV. The survey resulted in following decision numbers $y_{1}$ and $y_{2}\left(y_{1}+y_{2}=10\right)$ :

| Situation | $C_{1}$ | $C_{2}$ | $C_{1}^{\prime}$ | $C_{2}^{\prime}$ | $R$ | $T$ | $y_{1}$ | $y_{2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 30 | 30 | 20 | 20 | 300 | 180 | 4 | 6 |
| 2 | 30 | 30 | 20 | 20 | 300 | 360 | 0 | 10 |
| 3 | 50 | 30 | 20 | 20 | 300 | 180 | 1 | 9 |
| 4 | 60 | 30 | 20 | 20 | 300 | 180 | 0 | 10 |
| 5 | 40 | 30 | 10 | 20 | 400 | 180 | 7 | 3 |
| 6 | 30 | 30 | 10 | 20 | 500 | 120 | 9 | 1 |
| 7 | 30 | 30 | 20 | 10 | 200 | 360 | 1 | 9 |
| 8 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 100 | 180 | 2 | 8 |

(a) Does this survey follow a revealed or a stated preference design? Justify your answer.
(b) In order to be accessible for a discrete-choice analysis, the choice set must be complete and unique. Explain, what this means in this specific situation of buying cars.
(c) Why is it not sensible, in this specific situation, to also include the range and the refueling time of the ICEV into the choice set?
(d) The decisions are now analyzed by a binary Logit model with following utility function:

$$
V_{i}=\beta_{1} \delta_{i 1}+\beta_{2} C_{i}+\beta_{3} C_{i}^{\prime}+\beta_{4} R_{1} \delta_{i 1}+\beta_{5} T_{1} \delta_{i 1}
$$

where $\delta_{i j}=1$ if $i=j$ and $=0$, otherwise. Classify the five factors into alternative-specific constants, characteristics of the alternatives, and socioeconomic variables and give their meaning.
(e) Calculate the realized property sums

$$
X_{m}^{\mathrm{data}}=\sum_{n} \sum_{i} x_{m n i} y_{n i}
$$

for the parameters $\beta_{1}(m=1)$ and $\beta_{5}$, and the corresponding expected property sums

$$
X_{m}^{\mathrm{MNL}}(\boldsymbol{\beta})=10 \sum_{n} \sum_{i} x_{m n i} P_{n i}
$$

for the trivial model with $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}=\mathbf{0}$. (Do not calculate the other property sums!) Why $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}=\mathbf{0}$ is not a ML estimator for the given data?
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(f) The ML estimates parameters are now given as (value $\pm$ standard deviation $\sqrt{V_{j j}}$ )

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\hat{\beta}_{1}=0.203 & \pm \\
\hat{\beta}_{2}=-0.0972 & \pm 0.0417, \\
\hat{\beta}_{3}=-0.114 & \pm 0.104, \\
\hat{\beta}_{4}=0.00504 & \pm 0.00434, \\
\hat{\beta}_{5}=-0.0112 & \pm 0.0052,
\end{array}
$$

Calculate the modelled probability of chosing a BEV in the first two situations.
(g) Show that only the price and the charging time are significant factors for the decision.
(h) In order to check whether the full model is significantly more predictive than the simplified model

$$
V_{i}=+\beta_{2} C_{i}+\beta_{5} T_{1} \delta_{i 1}
$$

perform a LR test on these two models. In doing so, use the $\log$-likelihoods $\tilde{L}=-30.1$ and $\tilde{L}^{\text {restr }}=-42.6$ of the calibrated full and restrained models, respectively.
(i) Most parameter estimates have no significance although the associated factors obviously matter. Explain why.

Quantiles $z_{p}=\Phi^{-1}(p)$ of the standardnormal distribution $\Phi(z)$

| $p=0.60$ | 0.70 | 0.80 | 0.90 | 0.95 | 0.975 | 0.990 | 0.995 | 0.999 | 0.9995 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0.253 | 0.524 | 0.842 | 1.282 | 1.645 | 1.960 | 2.326 | 2.576 | 3.090 | 3.291 |

Quantiles $t_{n, p}$ of the Student $t$ distribution with $n$ degrees of freedom

| $n$ | $p=0.60$ | 0.70 | 0.80 | 0.90 | 0.95 | 0.975 | 0.990 | 0.995 | 0.999 | 0.9995 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 0.325 | 0.727 | 1.376 | 3.078 | 6.315 | 12.706 | 31.821 | 63.657 | 318.31 | 636.62 |
| 2 | 0.289 | 0.617 | 1.061 | 1.886 | 2.920 | 4.303 | 6.965 | 9.925 | 22.327 | 31.598 |
| 3 | 0.277 | 0.584 | 0.978 | 1.638 | 2.353 | 3.182 | 4.541 | 5.841 | 10.215 | 12.924 |
| 4 | 0.271 | 0.569 | 0.941 | 1.533 | 2.132 | 2.776 | 3.747 | 4.604 | 7.173 | 8.610 |
| 5 | 0.267 | 0.559 | 0.920 | 1.476 | 2.015 | 2.571 | 3.365 | 4.032 | 5.893 | 6.869 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 0.265 | 0.553 | 0.906 | 1.440 | 1.943 | 2.447 | 3.153 | 3.707 | 5.208 | 5.959 |
| 7 | 0.263 | 0.549 | 0.896 | 1.415 | 1.895 | 2.365 | 2.998 | 3.499 | 4.785 | 5.408 |
| 8 | 0.262 | 0.546 | 0.889 | 1.397 | 1.860 | 2.306 | 2.896 | 3.355 | 4.501 | 5.041 |
| 9 | 0.261 | 0.543 | 0.883 | 1.383 | 1.833 | 2.262 | 2.821 | 3.250 | 4.297 | 4.781 |
| 10 | 0.260 | 0.542 | 0.879 | 1.372 | 1.812 | 2.228 | 2.764 | 3.169 | 4.154 | 4.587 |
| $\infty$ | 0.253 | 0.524 | 0.842 | 1.282 | 1.645 | 1.960 | 2.326 | 2.576 | 3.090 | 3.291 |

Quantiles $\chi_{n, p}^{2}$ of the $\chi^{2}$ distribution with $n$ degrees of freedom

| n | $p=0.9900$ | 0.9750 | 0.9500 | 0.9000 | 0.8000 | 0.5000 | 0.2000 | 0.1000 | 0.05000 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 6.635 | 5.034 | 3.821 | 2.706 | 1.656 | 0.4589 | 0.06540 | 0.01638 | 0.004230 |
| 2 | 9.210 | 7.378 | 5.991 | 4.605 | 3.219 | 1.386 | 0.4463 | 0.2107 | 0.1026 |
| 3 | 11.34 | 9.348 | 7.815 | 6.251 | 4.642 | 2.366 | 1.005 | 0.5843 | 0.3518 |
| 4 | 13.28 | 11.15 | 9.488 | 7.779 | 5.989 | 3.357 | 1.649 | 1.064 | 0.7106 |
| 5 | 15.09 | 12.83 | 11.07 | 9.236 | 7.289 | 4.351 | 2.343 | 1.610 | 1.155 |

